Evaluation of Serum Soluble fms-like Tyrosine Kinase-1 as a Biomarker in Ectopic Pregnancy
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ABSTRACT

Background: An ectopic pregnancy occurs when a blastocyst implants outside the uterine, early diagnosis is crucial for minimizing associated risks. Soluble FMS-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt-1) is the soluble variant of the vascular endothelial growth factor receptor that forms a barrier against abnormal vascular permeability and abnormal angiogenesis. The study aims to determine the level of (sFlt-1) in ectopic pregnancy and identify whether it can be used as a biomarker to distinguish ectopic from normal intrauterine pregnancy and missed miscarriage.

Methods: A prospective case-control study enrolled 90 pregnant women, with gestational age (4–10 weeks) divided into three groups: 30 women with ectopic pregnancy, 30 missed miscarriages, and 30 women with normal intrauterine pregnancy. (sFlt–1) was measured and statistical analysis of the data was performed to compare the level of biomarker between the studied groups.

Results: The mean level of (sFlt–1) was significantly lower among ectopic pregnancy (0.25 ± 0.07 ng/ml) in comparison to miscarriage and intrauterine pregnancy group. The (sFlt–1) at a level of 0.277 ng/ml was able to distinguish an ectopic pregnancy from normal intrauterine pregnancy and miscarriage with a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 70%. The mean serum (sFlt–1) increased with increasing gestational age, especially at more than 8 weeks.

Conclusion: A single measurement of the level (sFlt–1) level can efficiently discriminate ectopic pregnancy from viable and non-viable intrauterine pregnancy. Serum (sFlt–1) at the cut-off value had the same sensitivity and better specificity than βhCG in the diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Ectopic pregnancy (EP) originated from the Greek term 'ektopos', which means out of place, in which blastocyst implants are implanted at any site other than the endometrial lining of the uterine cavity [1]. An ectopic pregnancy cannot proceed normally. The fertilized egg can’t survive, and the growing tissue may cause life-threatening bleeding if left untreated. Currently, the overall incidence is increasing worldwide due to increasing pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), increased induction of ovulation, and assisted reproductive technology, but the rate of fatality has decreased due to improved diagnostic techniques [2].

More than 95% of EPs are tubal in origin, and 80% are located in the ampullary portion of the fallopian tube [3]. Only about 50% of women diagnosed with EP have identifiable risk factors that include a previous history of EP, a history of pelvic surgery, pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) and chlamydia trachomatis, smoking, intrauterine contraceptive devices, exposure to diethylstilbestrol in utero, assisted reproductive technology, maternal age [4].

With the increasing trends in its incidence, a rapid and non-invasive biomarker to detect this condition early is crucial for improving both survival and conservation of reproductive capacity, thus decreasing the morbidity and mortality associated with the condition [1]. The classic triad of ectopic pregnancy symptoms is amenorrhoea followed by vaginal bleeding and ipsilateral abdominal pain. Free blood in the peritoneal cavity can cause diaphragmatic irritation and shoulder tip pain [5].

Ectopic pregnancy should be considered in any patient who presents early in pregnancy with vaginal bleeding or lower abdominal pain in whom intrauterine pregnancy has not yet been established [6]. The diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy in early pregnancy requires superior sensitivity and specificity, as a false negative could lead to serious morbidity and mortality and a false positive could result in the interruption of a potentially desired normal pregnancy [7]. Although surgical intervention such as laparotomy or laparoscopy used to be the mainstream of treatment, earlier detection has allowed a shift towards more conservative nonsurgical management [8].

Soluble FMS-like tyrosine kinase (sFLT1) is the soluble variant of the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor that acts as markers of normal vascularization [9]. sFLT1 ensnares VEGF with excessive affinity, inhibiting its mitogenic activity in vascular endothelial cells, and may personate an imperative role in down regulating angiogenesis [10]. In the placenta, sFLT-1 is expressed in trophoblast cells. The trophoblast layer located between umbilical capillaries and maternal blood vessels suggests that sFlt-1 forms a molecular barrier against abnormal vascular permeability and abnormal angiogenesis, trapping VEGF and PlGF [11] Thus, sFlt-1 appears as appealing angiogenic candidate markers for failed pregnancies [9].

Monitoring sFLT-1 levels in the early first trimester, especially during the first 4 to 10 weeks, helps determine the normal development and functioning of the placenta, which is the prerequisite for normal embryonic development [12]. Previous reports suggested that serum measurements of angiogenic factors can be used as markers to identify cases of early pregnancy failure (ectopic or miscarriage) [13].

The aim of this study was to determine the serum level of FMS-like soluble tyrosine kinase in ectopic pregnancy and identify whether it can be used as a biomarker to distinguish ectopic from normal IUP and missed miscarriage, comparing its performance with B.HCG.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This is a prospective case control study that was conducted in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Azadi Teaching Hospital / Kirkuk during the period of 6 months from February to the end of October 2021. The study protocol was approved by the Scientific Council of Obstetrics and Gynecology / Iraqi Board for Medical Specializations.

The study included 90 women, between 18–37 years of age, with positive pregnancy test and gestational age between (4–10 wks) based on menstrual date and US scan performed on the day of sample collection.

The included women were divided into three groups: 1. Ectopic group: 30 women with ectopic pregnancy. 2. Miscarriage: 30 women diagnosed with missed miscarriage. 3. Intrauterine pregnancy (IUP): 30 women with viable IUP. The selection of ectopic cases was made from those admitted to the Obstetrics & Gynecology (OBG) inpatient department with clinical characteristics suspicious of EP. They were followed until the diagnosis of EP was made clinically, surgically, and confirmed by histopathology. The criteria used for the diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy were –the beta HCG levels greater than 1500 IU / L with trans-vaginal ultrasound showing an empty cervical canal and uterine cavity + other US features of EP (apart from one case of scar site ectopic in which gestational sac present in the anterior lower uterine segment). OR – Serial measurements of B.HCG every 48 hours suggested ectopic pregnancy, when initial level was< 1500
and US characteristics were not conclusive.

The Miscarriage cases were recruited from the outpatient clinic or from those admitted for termination of pregnancy with US diagnosis of missed miscarriage at the same gestational age (GA).

The Intrauterine pregnant women were sequentially included from those attending their first routine hospital reservation visit in a comparable GA with no history of vaginal bleeding and US finding confirming viable intrauterine pregnancy.

Patients who are excluded from the study include those with the followings: A twin or multiple pregnancy, hydatidiform mole, systemic diseases: patients with (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, chronic kidney disease, and chronic liver disease), using of anticoagulant drugs (eg, heparin) or antioxidant, taking exogenous progesterone and smoking.

Informed consent is obtained from all participants after discussing with them the nature of the study. A questionnaire had been applied to collect the needed information, including age, parity, and LMP. A general examination was performed that included vital signs and body mass index, abdominal and pelvic examinations were performed. Five ml of venous blood were drawn; in addition to routine investigations, the studied groups were investigated for Beta hCG level and sFlt-1. Serum sFlt-1 was measured using an enzyme-linked immune sorbent assay (ELISA) based on double antibody sandwich technology.

The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software version 23 was used for data entry and analysis. In the descriptive statistics for sociodemographic characteristics, the means, standard deviations, min, max values were used for continuous data. Numbers and percentage values were used for countable data AVONA test used. In analyzing the differences between the groups, analysis of the ROC curve was considered to find the cutoff level of Serum sFlt-1. Pearson correlation has been used to find the correlation between 2 continuous variables. P<0.05 was used as the threshold for statistical significance.

RESULTS

The total number of participants in this study was 90, 30 had ectopic pregnancy, 30 had missed miscarriage, and 30 were normal IUP. Of the 30 cases of ectopic pregnancy, all were tubal ectopic except for one case diagnosed as scar site ectopic. The distribution of the study participants by demographic and clinical characteristics and the comparison in the mean of the age of the participants and the body mass index (BMI) are shown in (Table 1).

The age of the study participants ranged from 18 to 37 years, the mean age in the ectopic, miscarriage and intrauterine pregnancy (IUP) groups was 26.6 ± 4.4 years, 26.5 ± 4.7 years, and 26.03 ± 4.6 years, respectively, and there were no significant differences in the mean age between all groups (P=0.856).

Although the mean body mass index in intrauterine pregnancy (25.73) was higher than in other groups, (23.71 and 22.68) for ectopic and miscarriage, respectively, there were no statistically significant differences between the groups (P=0.011). The highest proportion of participants in the three groups (ectopics, miscarriage and intrauterine pregnancy) were multiparous with parity between 1-3 (66.7%, 70% and 70% respectively) and more than half of the participants in the three groups had no history of previous miscarriage (60%, 56.7% and 53.3% respectively).

The gestational age of the participants ranged from (4-10 weeks) with the majority of the participants between 6-8 weeks (66.6%, 70% and 63.3% in ectopic, miscarriage, and intrauterine pregnancy, respectively) (P=0.281). There were no statistical differences between the groups regarding their parity, history of miscarriage, and gestational age, as shown in (Table 1).

The mean, maximum, and minimum βhCG level in all groups is shown in (Table 2). The mean βhCG was significantly higher among the intrauterine pregnancy group (24851.07 IU/L) compared to other groups (P=0.005). Although the mean of βhCG was higher among the miscarriage group (9783.67 IU/L) than that of ectopic pregnancy (6270.87 IU/L) there were no statistically significant differences between the two groups (P=0.079).

The mean, maximum, and minimum levels of sFlt-1 between the studied groups are shown in (Table 2). The mean biomarker level in the ectopic pregnancy group was 0.25 ± 0.07 ng/ml, in the miscarriage group was 0.33 ± 0.08 ng/ml, and in the IUP group it was 1.07 ± 0.63 ng/ml. There was a significantly lower mean of sFlt-1 between the EP group compared to the miscarriage and the intrauterine pregnancy group (P=0.001). Also, there was significantly lower sFlt-1 among miscarriage compared to the IUP group (P=0.001).

The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was constructed for the serum βhCG biomarker as a predictor of EP, the cutoff point of serum βhCG ≤ 4100 IU/L was able to distinguish an ectopic pregnancy from normal pregnancy and miscarriage with a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 56% as a large significant area under the ROC curve was found AUC= 81.7% (Figure 1).
Table 1. Distribution of study participants according to demographic and clinical characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Ectopic</th>
<th>Miscarriage</th>
<th>IUP</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>26.53</td>
<td>26.03</td>
<td>0.856*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>23.71</td>
<td>22.68</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (16.7%)</td>
<td>6 (20%)</td>
<td>7 (33.3%)</td>
<td>0.756**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>20 (66.7%)</td>
<td>21 (70%)</td>
<td>21 (70%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4+</td>
<td>5 (16.7%)</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscarriage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18 (60%)</td>
<td>17 (56.7%)</td>
<td>16 (53.3%)</td>
<td>0.431**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>9 (30%)</td>
<td>11 (36.7%)</td>
<td>14 (46.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
<td>2 (6.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 6 weeks</td>
<td>8 (26.6%)</td>
<td>5 (16.7%)</td>
<td>8 (26.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.A.</td>
<td>6–8 weeks</td>
<td>20 (66.6%)</td>
<td>21 (70%)</td>
<td>19 (63.3%)</td>
<td>0.281**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 8 weeks</td>
<td>2 (6.6%)</td>
<td>4 (13.3%)</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ANOVA test, ** Chi-square test, BMI=body mass index, IUP=intrauterine pregnancy, G.A.=gestational age, SD=standard deviation

Table 2. Comparing the mean of βhCG level between study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Ectopic</th>
<th>Miscarriage</th>
<th>IUP</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>βhCG (IU/L)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>6270.87</td>
<td>9783.67</td>
<td>24851.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>11586.80</td>
<td>6398.15</td>
<td>30787.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sFLt-1</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.33*</td>
<td>1.07**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVONA test * IUP compared to others, ** Miscarriage compared to ectopic, βhCG=beta-human chorionic gonadotropin, sFLt-1=soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1, IUP=intrauterine pregnancy

The level sFLt-1 level of ≤ 0.277 ng/ml was able to distinguish an ectopic pregnancy from a normal pregnancy or a miscarriage with a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 70% with a large area under the ROC curve (AUC=88.7%) (Figure 2). Comparison between ROC curve analyses for the diagnostic precision of EP biomarkers showed higher specificity for sFLt-1 (70%) compared to serum βhCG (56%) with both having nearly the same sensitivity (Figure 3).

As shown in (Table 3) generally the mean sFLt-1 was increasing with increasing G.A., but this change was only significant at more than 8 weeks, as there was a significantly higher level of mean s.FLt-1 in G.A more than 8 weeks compared to the means at earlier G.A. (P=0.007).

The mean levels of sFLt-1 were compared between patients with ruptured and unruptured ectopic pregnancies. The analysis included 29 patients in total. Among them, 10 patients experienced ruptures, while 19 did not. The mean sFLt-1 level for those with ruptured ectopic pregnancies was 0.252 (SD=0.061), and for those without rupture, it was 0.259 (SD=0.085). The statistical comparison, conducted using an ANOVA test, revealed no significant difference in sFLt-1 levels between the ruptured and unruptured groups (P=0.821).
DISCUSSION

Motherhood—An eternal, comprehensive, and natural dream that every woman has. This dream may not always be pleasant and can involve nightmares, one of these is ectopic pregnancy [14].

The early diagnosis of an ectopic pregnancy is one of the greatest challenges for an obstetrician, and its importance lies in the fact that women can receive a conservative treatment that can definitely have a beneficial effect on their reproductive capacity [15]. Although ultrasound is currently the standard of care used to diagnose ectopic pregnancy, it often requires multiple examinations and weeks to make a definitive diagnosis, for this. Serum biomarkers are currently being investigated as a solution to the need for a rapid and accurate test for ectopic pregnancy [16].

Human chorionic gonadotropin is a glycoprotein produced by syncytiotrophoblast and can be detected in serum as early as 8 days after the surge of luteinizing hormone (LH). Since the thresholds of increase in \( \beta \)hCG that distinguish normal and abnormal pregnancies in the early stages of pregnancy have evolved with time, \( \beta \)hCG values below the discriminatory zone combined with the absence of an intrauterine sac need serial measurement and the diagnosis must be supported by the US finding [17].

Serum soluble FMS–like tyrosine kinase–1 has been studied extensively for diagnosis and prediction of many gynecological conditions like preeclampsia, preterm birth, growth restriction, stillbirth, and miscarriage [16, 18, 19]. However, studies on its role in ectopic pregnancy are still spared [10].

In the current study, the mean \( \beta \)hCG was significantly higher among IUP group (24851.07 IU/L) in comparison to ectopic pregnancy (6270.87 IU/L) and miscarriage (9783.67 IU/L), although the mean \( \beta \)hCG level was higher among miscarriage group than that in ectopic pregnancy there was no statistically significant differences between the two groups .

This result agreed with the work of Martínez–Ruiz et al. [7] comparing \( \beta \)hCG levels between the EP and the miscarriage group, which did not show statistically significant differences between the two types of abnormal pregnancy. This indicates that although \( \beta \)hCG is beneficial in discriminating ectopic from IUP, it is not so efficient in discriminating it from miscarriage. Furthermore, in this study the \( \beta \)hCG level of \(< 4100\) IU/L was able to distinguish an ectopic pregnancy with a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 56%.

In this study, there was a significantly lower mean level of sFlt-1 level among the EP group (0.25 ± 0.07 ng/ml) in comparison to both IUP pregnancy (1.07 ± 0.63 ng/ml) and miscarriage (0.33±0.08ng/ml). This finding shed light on the importance of sFlt-1 in the diagnosis of EP, as well as in discriminating it from miscarriage, when \( \beta \)hCG fails. The mechanism behind the reduction in the level of sFlt-1 in EP may be related to increased consumption due to the subsequent binding of the sFlt1 receptor to the excessively expressed VEGF, or a decrease in sFlt-1 production or both. This was in line with other studies finding that showed the level of sFlt-1 was significantly lower in ectopic pregnancy and miscarriage as compared to normal pregnancy, such as Daponte et al. [9] study in which the mean value of sFlt-1 was significantly lower in EP (178.16 ±76.03 pg/ml) and miscarriage (399.42 ± 375.42 pg/ml) compared to women with viable IUP (1390.32 ± 857.37 pg/ml) and in the Selvarajan et al. [10] study that reported that the sFlt-1 level is significantly lower in the ectopic group (419 pg/ml) compared to IUP( 898 pg/ml). The differences in the mean sFlt-1 reported in our study with studies of Selvaraj and Daponte et al. [9, 10]. may be related to different units used for the measurement of sFlt-1.

Furthermore, Martínez–Ruiz et al. [7] study that investigated the role of sFlt-1 as a diagnostic biomarker for ectopic pregnancy and missed miscarriage (recruited those pregnant who suffered a failed early pregnancy with levels of \( \beta \)hCG between \( 800 \) and \( 3500 \) IU/L) showed that sFlt-1 could be a useful marker to differentiate between an EP or a missed miscarriage when \( \beta \)hCG levels are similar in both groups. This

Table 3. Comparing the mean of \( \beta \)hCG level between study groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>G.A.(Weeks)</th>
<th>Mean (IU/L)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 6 weeks</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – 8 weeks</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 8 weeks</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* AVONA test, G.A.=gestation age, SD=standard deviation

Figure 3. Comparison of Receiver Operating Characteristics Curve Analysis of the Biomarkers
Serum sFLT-1 and Ectopic Pregnancy

Serum sFLT-1 and Ectopic Pregnancy gives more strength to the sFLT-1 to play an essential role in differentiation between ectopic pregnancy from miscarriage especially when βhCG is below the discriminatory level. Moreover, receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) analysis of sFLT-1 showed that the sFLT-1 at a level of ≤ 0.277 ng/ml was able to distinguish an ectopic pregnancy from miscarriage and IUP with a sensitivity of 90% and a specificity of 70%. This was in line with Selvarajan et al. study [10], which showed that sFLT-1 was able to distinguish an EP from a normal pregnancy at a cut-off of 623 pg/ml with a good sensitivity of 98.6% and specificity of 90.7% however, the cutoff value reported was higher than our results. Similarly Daponte et al study [17], sFLT-1 showed a sensitivity of 62.5% and a specificity of 92.1% for sFLT-1 in the diagnosis EP from a miscarriage at the threshold value of 228.08 pg/ml.

According to the result of this study sFLT-1 at a cutoff level had better specificity and similar sensitivity for diagnosis of EP compared with βhCG 90%, 70%, vs 90%, 56% respectively. Additionally in this study, the mean sFLT-1 was increased with increasing gestational age especially at more than 8 weeks, as there was a significantly higher level of sFLT-1 in pregnant women at this age compared to earlier pregnancy. This is an expected finding due to increasing trophoblastic cell mass, the major source of this biomarker, with advancing pregnancy. This finding was in line with the Selvarajan et al study [10]. Further analysis for ectopic pregnancy and sFLT-1 level based on presence of ruptured ectopic at time of surgery, find that the mean sFLT-1 level showed no significant difference between ruptured and non-ruptured ectopic. This may indicate that sFLT-1 can be regarded as an early diagnostic marker for ectopic pregnancy changing even before rupture occurs; unfortunately, we did not find research comparing sFLT-1 between ruptured and unruptured ectopic pregnancy.

CONCLUSION

A single sFLT-1 measurement can efficiently differentiate ectopic pregnancy from viable and non-viable intrauterine pregnancies at the same gestational age. Serum sFLT-1, at a specific cutoff, demonstrates equal sensitivity and superior specificity to βhCG in diagnosing ectopic pregnancy. Additionally, changes in sFLT-1 levels precede tubal rupture, suggesting a promising role in early tubal pregnancy diagnosis.

RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend incorporating serum sFLT-1 level estimation into the diagnostic workup for ectopic pregnancy, particularly in emergency settings, due to its rapid, noninvasive, and cost-effective nature. Further prospective studies with larger participant sizes are crucial for comprehensive investigation and validation.
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